Originally published in

## New York Law Journal

## **Government and Election Law**

February 13, 2019

## **New York Election Reform Needs Improvement**



## By Jerry Goldfeder and Myrna Pérez

In their Government and Election Law column, Jerry H. Goldfeder and Myrna Pérez write: Albany passed two reforms that were a long time coming: "early voting" and a June (rather than September) primary election. Unfortunately, when enacting a June primary, the legislature did not take into account how it would impact other election laws, and created a bizarre and confusing election calendar this year.

A lbany is being applauded for enacting several popular pro-voter reforms, including taking the first step in amending the state constitution to allow voters to register and vote on Election Day without a waiting period, and permitting New Yorkers to vote by mail without restrictions or conditions. These changes require passage by two successive legislatures and a voter referendum. If all goes according to plan, then by 2022 New Yorkers will enjoy convenient voting procedures that many voters across the country already have.

Albany passed two additional reforms that were also a long time coming: "early voting" and a June (rather than September) primary election.

Early voting in New York is way overdue—three dozen other states permit it. In fact, New York was recently embarrassed by that bastion of restrictive voting procedures, North Carolina. In

defending itself in federal court for imposing a new round of voting obstacles, North Carolina noted the fact that New York lacked early voting opportunities. Albany finally has rectified this.

With respect to moving primary elections from September to June, this change has been advocated by the New York City Bar Association and others for many years. Primaries right after Labor Day, when school is starting and many voters are celebrating religious holidays, interfere with turnout. It is hoped that a June primary will reverse that trend.

Unfortunately, when enacting a June primary, the legislature did not take into account how it would impact other election laws, and created a bizarre and confusing election calendar this year. Consider the upcoming special election for New York City's Public Advocate, a position that became vacant upon incumbent Letitia James being sworn in as the state's Attorney General.

The special election is on Feb. 26, 2019. This date was chosen pursuant to the New York City Charter that requires a special election to be held 45 days after the Mayor's proclamation of a vacancy. (There are no primaries for special elections.) The winner will serve through Dec. 31, 2019. The Charter also requires that the remainder of the Public Advocate's term—from Jan. 1, 2020 through Dec. 31, 2021—must be filled at the general election this November. Because of the new law, primaries for the general election ballot are now slated for June 25th. And to run in the June primary, a candidate must circulate petitions to appear on the ballot, the first day being February 26th—the very same day of the special election.

Thus, on the day voters go to the polls to elect a new Public Advocate for the remainder of this year, they will be asked to sign petitions for candidates for Public Advocate who will take office on January 1st of next year.

The election calendar may get further complicated if the candidate elected on February 26th happens to be one of the four City Council members running for the post. In this scenario, there will be a vacancy in his council seat—requiring yet another special election to be held sometime at the end of April. And petitions for this special election will be circulated in the beginning of March—during the same period during which petitions are being circulated for the June primary for Public Advocate.

Thus, New Yorkers may be asked to vote in February, April, June and November. Moreover, if no candidate for Public Advocate wins the June primary with at least 40 percent of the vote, there will be a run-off election at the end of July. (There are no run-offs in special elections.) It is entirely unclear why the legislature did not take all of this into consideration when enacting recent election reforms.

Beyond the irrational election calendar Albany has imposed, it also did not address the manner by which vacancies are filled and the way New York conducts special elections—a topic that the New York City Charter Revision Commission has been asked to address. In the meantime, however, voters are stuck with multiple elections this year, and, to make matters more confusing, no candidate in a special election may use his or her actual political affiliation. Instead, fabricated party names appear above the names of the candidates. Thus, the ballot itself is confusing. Take a look at this sample ballot provided by the NYC Board of Elections:

	Ciudad de Nueva 26 d	ial para la Elección E York - Condado de I e Febrero del 2019 rrucciones en el Otro	Nueva York	紐約市	補選官方選票 紐約郡 - 2019年	-2月26日	選舉說明詳見背〕
Public Advocate Vote for one Defensor Público Vote por uno 公益炮谈人 请任選一名	Fix the MTA 整頓MTA索 O MELISSA MARK -VIVERITO 馬麗桃	For The People 人民変 O MICHAEL A. BLAKE 邁克 A. 布萊克	No More Delays 不再延误索 O DAWN L. SMALLS 形思L. 斯莫爾斯	Common Sense 常識変 O ERIC A. ULRICH 艾利克 A. 歐瑞曲	Unite Immigrants 園站移民業 O YDANIS RODRIGUEZ 耶丹尼斯 羅德里格斯	Equality For All 半等索 DANIEL J. O'DONNELL 丹尼爾 J. 歐唐諾	WRITE-IN CANDIDATO POR ESCRITO 寫入未列名候選人 <sup>S</sup> 入未列名提選人 CANDIDATO POR ESCRITO
	Fix the MTA Better Leaders 更好領導者案	For The People Community Strong 社區強大変	No More Delays No Amazon 禁止 Amazon 変	Common Sense Ifs Time Let's Go 是時候行動業	Unite Immigrants Power Forward 前進変	Equally For A Livable City 宜居城市変	
	. 82	O BENJAMIN L. YEE 余炳文	〇 RON KIM 金兑錫	O JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS 祖曼尼 D. 威廉斯	O LATRICE M. WALKER 樂翠絲 M. 沃克	O RAFAEL L. ESPINAL JR. 拉斐爾 L. 艾斯畢諾 Jr.	
	Better Loaders	Community Strong	No Amazon	it's Time Lat's Go	Power Forward	Livable City	4
	Pay Folks More 提高工資業	Stop REBNY 禁止REBNY案	Jared Rich for NYC Jared Rich鳥 NYC変	Residents First 居民優先黨	Friends of Helal 海勞之友堂		
	O NOMIKI D. KONST 諾米琪 D. 康斯特	O DAVID EISENBACH 大衛 艾森巴赫	O JARED RICH 傑瑞德 瑞曲	O ANTHONY TONY HERBERT 安東尼 湯尼 赫伯特	O HELAL A. SHEIKH 海勞 A. 謝克		

This sample ballot was provided by the NYC Board of Elections.

A voter who does not know in advance for whom to vote may, therefore, be hard pressed to make any sense of the ballot.

It is laudable that New York took a step forward, but there is obviously more to do. In an era when the public is so attentive to voting restrictions in states across the country, it behooves the bar to focus on reforming our own state's election law to make it easier for candidates to

run and New Yorkers to vote. At the very least, Albany should pass automatic voter registration, public financing, better ballot design, and voting rights restoration for community members with past convictions—and the legislature should keep in mind related provisions of election laws so that the result is a coherent, rational process for voters.

Jerry H. Goldfeder, special counsel at Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, teaches election law at Fordham Law School and the University of Pennsylvania Law School, and is the author of Goldfeder's Modern Election Law (NY Legal Pub. Corp., 5th Ed., 2018). Myrna Pérez is the Director of the Voting Rights and Elections Project at the Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law, and regularly litigates voting rights cases.

Reprinted with permission from the February 13, 2019 edition of the NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL © 2019 ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved. Further duplication without permission is prohibited. For information, contact 877-257-3382 or reprints@alm.com.